1 WO **ASH** 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Daniel Newton Head. No. CV 22-08048-PCT-JAT (JZB) 10 Petitioner, 11 **ORDER** v. 12 David Shinn, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 Petitioner Daniel Newton Head has filed, through counsel, a Petition for Writ of 15 Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) and paid the filing fee. The Court 16 will require an answer to the Petition. 17 I. **Petition** 18 convicted 19 Petitioner was Yavapai County Superior Court, in 20 #P1300CR201400418, of two counts of child prostitution and was sentenced to a 14-year 21 term of imprisonment. In his Petition, Petitioner names David Shinn as Respondent and 22 the Arizona Attorney General as an Additional Respondent. Petitioner alleges that his 23 attorney provided ineffective assistance. The Court will require Respondents to answer the Petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). 24 II. **Warnings** 25 26 A. **Address Changes** 27 If Petitioner's address changes, Petitioner must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with Rule 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. 28

Petitioner must not include a motion for other relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action.

B. Copies

Petitioner must serve Respondents, or counsel if an appearance has been entered, a copy of every document that he files. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a). Each filing must include a certificate stating that a copy of the filing was served. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d). Also, Petitioner must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. LRCiv 5.4. Failure to comply may result in the filing being stricken without further notice to Petitioner.

C. Possible Dismissal

If Petitioner fails to timely comply with every provision of this Order, including these warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the Court).

IT IS ORDERED:

- (1) The Clerk of Court must serve a copy of the Petition (Doc. 1) and this Order on the Respondent(s) and the Attorney General of the State of Arizona by electronic mail pursuant to Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, and the Memorandum of Understanding between the United States District Clerk of Court for the District of Arizona and the Arizona Attorney General's Office. Pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding, copies of the Petition and this Order will be sent via Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the State of Arizona Respondent through the Attorney General for the State of Arizona to designated electronic mail addresses. Within 2 business days, the Attorney General's Office will acknowledge receipt of the Petition and the Court's Order and within 5 business days will either file a notice of appearance on behalf of Respondents or will notify the Court of the names of the Respondents on whose behalf the Arizona Attorney General's Office will not accept service of process.
- (2) Respondents must answer the Petition within 40 days of the date of service. Respondents must not file a dispositive motion in place of an answer. Respondents may

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

file an answer that (a) is limited to relevant affirmative defenses, including, but not limited to, statute of limitations, procedural bar, or non-retroactivity; (b) raises affirmative defenses as to some claims and discusses the merits of others; or (c) discusses the merits of all claims. The failure to set forth an affirmative defense regarding a claim in an answer may be treated as a waiver of the defense as to that claim, Day v. McDonough, 547 U.S. 198, 209-11 (2006), but an answer that is limited to affirmative defenses on a particular claim does not waive any argument on the merits as to that claim. If the answer only raises affirmative defenses, only those portions of the record relevant to those defenses need be attached to the answer. If not, the answer must fully comply with all of the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

- (3) Regarding courtesy copies of documents for chambers, Respondents are directed to review Section II(D) of the Court's Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, which requires that "a courtesy copy of the filing, referencing the specific document number, shall be printed directly from CM/ECF." CM/ECF Admin. Man. § II(D)(3) (emphasis added). See http://www.azd.uscourts.gov/ sites/default/files/documents/adm%20manual.pdf.
- Petitioner may file a reply within 30 days from the date of service of the (4) answer.
- (5) This matter is referred to Magistrate Judge John Z. Boyle pursuant to Rules 72.1 and 72.2 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for further proceedings and a report and recommendation.

Dated this 4th day of April, 2022.

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

TERMPSREF

James A. Teilborg

Senior United States District Judge